

169783

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

SITE PREPARATION AND MATERIAL REMOVAL

**PRE-FINAL DESIGN
ENVIRO-CHEM SUPERFUND SITE
ZIONSVILLE, INDIANA**

**Prepared For:
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION AND
CHEMICAL CORPORATION TRUST**

**Prepared By:
AWD TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA**

AWD PROJECT NUMBER 2259

DECEMBER 1992

NOTICE

This document is a portion of the overall design package and, therefore, cannot be referenced, in whole or in part, as a standalone document for any other purpose.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.1.1	Site Location	1-3
1.1.2	Site Description	1-3
1.2	Site History	1-6
1.2.1	General	1-6
1.2.2	Previously Collected Data	1-6
1.3	Site Preparation and Material Removal Objectives	1-13
1.4	Material Handling and Sampling Approach	1-13
1.4.1	Tanks	1-13
1.4.2	Miscellaneous Drums	1-15
1.4.2.1	Drum Integrity Evaluation	1-15
1.4.2.2	Remote Opening	1-15
1.4.2.3	Segregation and Staging	1-17
1.4.2.4	Empty	1-18
1.4.2.5	Drill Cuttings, and Purge and Decontamination Waters	1-18
1.4.2.6	Drill Cuttings and Solids Wastes	1-18
1.4.2.7	Unclassified Contents	1-19
1.4.3	Structures and Miscellaneous Materials and Debris	1-19
1.5	Target Parameters and Intended Usage	1-21
1.5.1	Field Parameters	1-21
1.5.2	Laboratory Parameters	1-22
1.5.3	Data Quality Objectives (DQOs)	1-22
2.0	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY	2-1
2.1	ECC Trust	2-1
2.2	U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager	2-1
2.3	IDEM Remedial Project Manager	2-3
2.4	AWD Technologies, Inc. Project Manager	2-3
2.5	Remedial Contractor Project Manager	2-3
2.6	Remedial Contractor Construction Manager	2-4
2.7	Remedial Contractor Technical Staff	2-4
2.8	Remedial Contractor Environmental Quality Assurance Officers	2-4
2.9	U.S. EPA Region V Quality Assurance Officer	2-5
2.10	Subcontract Laboratories' Project Managers	2-5
2.11	Subcontract Laboratories' Quality Assurance Officer	2-5
2.12	U.S. EPA Region V Central Regional Laboratory	2-6
2.13	Quality Assurance Submittals	2-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
3.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES	3-1
3.1	Level of QC Effort	3-1
3.2	Accuracy, Precision, and Sensitivity of Analyses	3-2
3.3	Completeness, Representativeness, and Comparability	3-2
4.0	FIELD SAMPLING PLAN (FSP)	4-1
5.0	SAMPLE CUSTODY PROCEDURES	5-1
5.1	Field Chain-of-Custody Procedures	5-1
5.1.1	Field Procedure	5-1
5.1.2	Field Logbooks/Documentation	5-2
5.1.3	Transfer-of-Custody and Shipment Procedures	5-3
5.2	Final Evidence Files Custody Procedures	5-4
6.0	CALIBRATION PROCEDURES AND FREQUENCY	6-1
6.1	Field Instruments/Equipment	6-1
6.2	Laboratory Equipment	6-1
7.0	ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	7-1
7.1	Laboratory Analysis	7-1
8.0	INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS	8-1
8.1	Field Sample Collection	8-1
8.2	Field Measurements	8-1
8.3	Laboratory Analyses	8-1
8.3.1	Quality Assurance Program	8-2
9.0	DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING	9-1
9.1	Data Reduction	9-1
9.1.1	Field Measurements and Sample Collection	9-1
9.1.2	Laboratory Services	9-1
9.2	Data Validation	9-1
9.3	Reporting	9-2
10.0	PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS	10-1
10.1	Field Activities	10-1
10.2	Laboratory	10-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
11.0	PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE	11-1
11.1	Field Equipment	11-1
11.2	Laboratory Equipment	11-1
12.0	SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES USED TO ASSESS DATA PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND COMPLETENESS	12-1
12.1	Field Measurements	12-1
12.2	Laboratory Data	12-1
12.2.1	Precision	12-1
12.2.2	Accuracy	12-2
12.2.3	Completeness	12-3
12.2.4	Sensitivity	12-3
13.0	CORRECTIVE ACTION	13-1
13.1	Sample Collection/Field Measurements	13-1
13.2	Laboratory Analyses	13-3
14.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS	14-1
15.0	REFERENCES	15-1
APPENDICES		
A	FIELD SAMPLING PLAN	

FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1-1	Site Location Map	1-4
1-2	Site Map	1-5
1-3	Site Boundaries	1-14
2-1	Quality Assurance Organization	2-2

TABLES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1-1	Summary of Remedial Investigation Data	1-7
2-1	QA Submittals	2-7
7-1	Summary of Field Sampling and Analysis	7-2

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1 Introduction

This Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) has been developed to cover all anticipated chemical and physical parameter testing which will be conducted during the ECC Site Preparation and Material Removal phase of the Remedial Action at the Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation Site (ECC Site), located in Zionsville, Indiana.

ERM-North Central has previously submitted a number of versions of a two-part Sampling and Analysis Plan for the ECC Site which contained a Part I - Field Sampling Plan and a Part II - Quality Assurance Project Plan. The Sampling and Analysis Plan addressed site preparation, material removal and remedial action activities, although the plan primarily focused on remedial action activities.

The previous ERM-North Central submittals of the Sampling and Analysis Plans and the corresponding U.S. EPA Region V comments are as follows:

1. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 0, March 1, 1989
2. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 1, December 10, 1991
3. U.S. EPA Region V Comments on Revision 1, February 21, 1992
4. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 2, March 24, 1992

AWD Technologies, Inc. (AWD) has revised the ERM-North Central Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 2, to further address the U.S. EPA comments. The previous Sampling and Analysis Plan two-part format has been modified to include the Field Sampling Plan within the Quality Assurance Project Plan. The Sampling and Analysis Plan terminology is not used in the AWD plans.

The Pre-Final Design for the ECC Site has been further modified to include two design packages: (1) Site Preparation and Material Removal and (2) Remedial Action. The Site Preparation and Material Removal phase includes preparation of the support zone and removal of above ground tanks, buildings, drums, and miscellaneous debris. The Remedial Action phase includes in-situ soil treatment by soil vapor extraction, capping of the soil treatment area, and verification and compliance monitoring.

The Site Preparation and Material Removal phase includes the following:

- Preparation of a site support zone which will consist of facilities to support the materials removal efforts and subsequent corrective actions; placement of temporary controls; and design and layout of ingress, egress (personnel and traffic), and materials handling and storage areas.
- Remove physical obstructions including tanks, drums, buildings, debris, and any other above ground obstructions prior to initiation of remedial design construction.

Sampling and analyses will be performed on selected materials for removal based on visual classifications, field screening, and RCRA waste characterization.

The intended use of all data collected during this phase is to provide sufficient analytical results and/or approval on all material removal items to satisfy acceptance criteria of appropriate disposal facilities. Schedules for submittal of samples depends on the matrix in question and is covered in the following applicable sections. Some sampling will be required early to achieve agency approvals and facility acceptance, while other sampling will be required on an as-needed/recognized basis.

1.1.1 Site Location

The ECC Site is located in a rural area of Boone County, about 5 miles north of Zionsville and 10 miles northwest of Indianapolis, Indiana (Figures 1-1 and 1-2).

1.1.2 Site Description

The Site is defined as the area bounded by the proposed perimeter fence, which includes the 3.053-acre remedial boundary, the support zone, and the buffer zone between the proposed fence and the north and eastern sides of the Site. A buffer zone on the southern side of the Site contains a proposed Remedial Contractor equipment laydown area. Site conditions are shown on Contract Drawing C-1 and the Support Zone Plan is described on Contract Drawing C-3.


Directly west of the Site is an active commercial waste handling and recycling facility operated by the Boone County Resource Recovery Systems, Inc. (BCRRS). Access to the Site will be from State Route 421 and will be within a property easement shared with BCRRS.

Directly east of the Site across an unnamed ditch is the inactive Northside Sanitary Landfill (NSL) landfill. This facility is also a Superfund Site and is presently undergoing remedial design activities. The south end of the Site is approximately 500 feet from an existing residence and is approximately 400 feet from Finley Creek, the main surface water drainage in the site area.

Residential properties are also located to the north and west, within 1/2 mile of the facilities. A small residential community, Northfield, is located north of the Site on State Route 421. Approximately 50 residences are located within 1 mile of the Site.

The Site is in an area that is gently sloping, predominantly to the east towards the unnamed ditch. The unnamed ditch runs north to south along the eastern edge of the Site and drains the Site either directly or from tributary ditches on the north and south ends of the Site. The unnamed ditch flows south from the Site to Finley Creek.

REV
0



Various solid waste materials are present at the Site both within the remedial boundary and within the support zone. Emergency actions undertaken prior to 1990 have resulted in the removal of the major sources of contamination. The structures remaining at the Site include cleaned tanks, the process building, the A-frame structure, the concrete pad with approximately 250 drums, and miscellaneous debris.

1.2 Site History

1.2.1 General

ECC was engaged in the recovery, reclamation, and brokering of primary solvents, oils, and other wastes. Waste products were received in drums and bulk tankers and then prepared for subsequent reclamation or disposal.

ECC was placed into receivership in July 1981. Drum shipments to the Site were halted in February 1982. Surface cleanup activities conducted by U.S. EPA and PRP contractors during 1983 and 1984 included the removal of cooling pond waters, waste drums, tank waste, contaminated soil, and cooling pond sludge.

A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) was conducted by CH2M Hill for the U.S. EPA from 1983 through 1986. The Record of Decision (ROD) for the Site was published on September 25, 1987. The ROD was amended on June 7, 1991 and the Consent Decree for the remediation of the Site was entered on September 10, 1991.

1.2.2 Previously Collected Data

Past chemical contaminant data collection activities relevant to the Site Preparation and Material Removal phase are summarized in Table 1-1. The constituents listed and corresponding concentration ranges (minimum/maximum) could potentially be present in drummed materials still onsite, and may remain as residues on or in certain inventoried items listed in Appendix A of the Site Preparation and Materials Removal Technical Specifications.

TABLE 1-1

SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA ⁽¹⁾

ECC SITE

PAGE 1 OF 6

Parameter	Soil ⁽²⁾		Sediments		Subsurface Water		Offsite Surface Water	
	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)
Volatile								
Benzene					ND/4 J	9 K		
Chlorobenzene	ND/360	360						
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND/3 J	1,100,000			ND/5 K	7	ND/6	120
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND/380 J	380 J			ND/51.2	96	ND/45	45
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND/14	550						
Chloroethane					ND/29	120	ND/12	12
Chloroform	ND/5 J	2,900			ND/3 JB	9 K		
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND/47	35,000 B			ND/6	10		
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND/9	120,000 B			ND/3 J	4,000	ND/6 d	330
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene					ND/77.5	77.5		
Ethyl Benzene	ND/14	1,500,000			ND/3 J	9 K	ND/2 d	13 d
Methylene Chloride	ND/8	310,000	ND/6.1	9.1	ND/2 J	64	ND/3 d	86
Trichlorofluoromethane			ND	ND	ND	ND		
Tetrachloroethene	ND/5 J	650,000			ND/9 K	9 K	ND/5 d	29
Toluene	ND/6	2,000,000			ND/9 K	9 K	ND/6	82

TABLE 1-1

SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA⁽¹⁾
ECC SITE
PAGE 2 OF 6

Parameter	Soil ⁽²⁾		Sediments		Subsurface Water		Offsite Surface Water	
	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)
Trichloroethene	ND/3 J	4,800,000 B			ND/3 J	28,000	ND/13	240
Vinyl Chloride	ND/7	7			ND/6	85.8	ND/10	11
Acetone	ND/16	650,000			ND/9 KB	15,030 B	ND/30	1,100
2-Butanone	ND/6 J	2,800,000			ND/9 K	26 B	ND/16	560
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	ND/35 J	190,000						
Styrene					ND/5 K	5 K		
o-Xylene							ND	ND
Total Xylenes	ND/11	6,800,000			ND/9	12	ND/11	47
Acid Extractables								
p-Chloro-m-Cresol							ND/30 d,e	30 d,e
Phenol	ND/610	570,000					ND/92 e	92 e
2-Methylphenol	ND/340	340					ND/27 e	27 e
4-Methylphenol	ND/53,000	53,000					ND/89 e	120 e

TABLE 1-1

SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA⁽¹⁾
ECC SITE
PAGE 3 OF 6

Parameter	Soil ⁽²⁾		Sediments		Subsurface Water		Offsite Surface Water	
	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)
Base/Neutrals								
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND/240	900,000						
Fluoranthene					ND/20 K	20 K		
Isophorone	ND/270	440,000			ND/20 K	20 K	ND/86 e	ND/240 e
Naphthalene	ND/640	180,000						
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND/230	370,000	ND/912	912	ND/23 K	23 K	ND	ND
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	ND/400 J	47,000						
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	ND/53	8,200						
Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	ND/310	2,100					ND/17 d,e	17 d,e
Diethyl Phthalate	ND/1,200	9,000			ND/20 K	20 K		
Dimethyl Phthalate	ND/360 J	1,300						
Crysene					ND/20 K	20 K		
Fluorene	ND/260	260						
Phenanthrene	ND/350	8,100						
Pyrene					ND/30	30		
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND/1,900	2,100						

TABLE 1-1

SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA ⁽¹⁾
ECC SITE
PAGE 4 OF 6

Parameter	Soil ⁽²⁾		Sediments		Subsurface Water		Offsite Surface Water	
	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)
PCB-1232	ND/340 C	540 C						
PCB-1260	ND/750	39,000						
Inorganics								
Aluminum	1,920	44,800	2,172	9,744	ND/[65]	61,500	ND/[69]a	3,050 a
Antimony	ND/42	42	ND	ND	ND/4	4	ND	ND
Arsenic	ND/[4.5]	20	ND	ND	ND/15	15	ND	ND
Barium	[27]	1,730	27	102	150	1,070	ND/[92]	180
Beryllium	ND/[.36]	[3.9]	ND/0.6	0.6	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	ND/2.9	27	1.3 c	2.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
Calcium	[2,500]*	1,260,000	N/A	N/A	70,240 E	161,100 E	N/A	N/A
Chromium	9.6	145*	4	13	ND/11	144	ND/15	15
Cobalt	[3.4]	[51]	ND/5.3	5.3	ND/80	80	ND	ND
Copper	[13]	167	7	23	ND/[16]	106	ND/[18]	[18]
Iron	11,900	147,000	8,598	18,696	[51]	105,000	[77]	4,460
Lead	4.5	432*	6.8	31.3	ND/6.5	102	ND	ND
Magnesium	[2,060]*	292,000	N/A	N/A	29,780 E	131,800 E	N/A	N/A

TABLE 1-1

SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA⁽¹⁾
ECC SITE
PAGE 5 OF 6

Parameter	Soil ⁽²⁾		Sediments		Subsurface Water		Offsite Surface Water	
	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum ⁽³⁾	Maximum ⁽³⁾	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)	Minimum (µg/L)	Maximum (µg/L)
Manganese	158	6,870	161	499	ND/17	1,930	76	1,708
Mercury	ND	ND	ND/0.05	2.25	ND/0.2	0.4	ND/0.2 b	0.4 b
Nickel	[5.8]	37	ND/13	23	ND/[32]	176	ND/[21]	47
Potassium	ND/[905]	[10,500]	N/A	N/A	ND/[1195]	105,940	N/A	N/A
Selenium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND/3	4	ND/6	6
Silver	ND/[3.3]	[3.8]	ND	ND	ND/14	33	ND/[9.2]	9.2
Sodium	ND/[480]	[15,600]	N/A	N/A	10,060	380,700	N/A	N/A
Thallium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND/0.4	0.4	ND	ND
Tin	ND/17	30	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vanadium	[15]	37	ND/23	23	ND	ND	ND	ND
Zinc	[38]	650*	ND/52	75	ND/11	276	ND/36 B	79 B
Cyanide	ND/0.8	4.4	ND/33	73	ND	ND	ND/0.005	0.013

Notes

- (1) These data were obtained from the tables of analytical results presented in Section 4.0 of the RI Report by CH2M Hill, dated March 14, 1986.
- (2) The ranges given for soil are taken from the Phase II data only, since some soil was removed from the site after the Phase I analyses.
- (3) The units for the soil and sediment analyses are: µg/kg for volatiles, acid extractables, base neutrals, and PCBs/pesticides results; and mg/kg for the inorganics results.

TABLE 1-1
SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA⁽¹⁾
ECC SITE
PAGE 6 OF 6

Key

- *** The duplicate analysis was not within control limits.
- []** The value was less than the Contract Required Detection Limit.
- B** The analyte was found in the laboratory blank and in the sample, which indicates probable contamination.
- C** The identification of this polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)/pesticide parameter has not been confirmed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS).
- J** The value is estimated and occurs when the mass spectra data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria and the result is less than the specified detection limit but greater than zero.
- E** The value is estimated or not reported because of the presence of interferences.
- K** The actual value, within the limits of the method, is less than the value given.
- a** There was a poor or marginal recovery of this spiked metal.
- b** This metal was also detected in the analysis of the field blank.
- c** This value should be regarded as a qualitative indication of the presence of these metals because the concentration is below the lowest quantitative standard.
- d** An estimated value.
- e** The Quality Assurance (QA) review identified the results as semiquantitative because the average surrogate recovery was < 40 percent.
- ND** The compound was not detected. A number after ND in the "Minimum" column is the lowest detected concentration of the compound. For example, "ND/6" means that the compound was not detected in some samples and that the lowest detected concentration was 6.
- N/A** The compound was not analyzed for.
 A blank space in the table indicates that no analytical results were given in the Remedial Investigation Report for that compound in that matrix.
 The compound was either not analyzed for or not detected.

1.3 Site Preparation and Material Removal Objectives

The objectives of the Site Preparation and Material Removal activities at the ECC Site are to:

- Prepare the site support zone facilities for implementation of material removal activities (see C-3 "Support Zone Plan" of the Contract Drawings).
- Remove all above ground materials within the Site area, including tanks, drums, structures, and miscellaneous debris, and decontaminate and stage these materials for transport offsite.
- Transport all removal materials offsite to suitable disposal or recycling facilities.


Figure 1-3 presents the site boundary locations which will exist during the remedial action phases.

1.4 Material Handling and Sampling Approach

1.4.1 Tanks

Presently, 53 used process tanks are staged on the west side of the ECC property. These tanks originated from the solvent recovery operations during the late 1970s to early 1980s. Additionally, there are a few smaller volume fuel tanks which are among the building and outside debris. These tanks will be handled according to Section 02081 and Figure 1 in Appendix C of the Technical Specifications. No sampling is proposed for the old process tanks.

Sampling of the old process tanks for subsequent analytical testing is not proposed since the tanks were found to be empty, except for possibly a small volume of residual rinse or rain water, during a field inventory reconnaissance (November 13 and 14, 1992). The tanks are also reported to have been cleaned during past removal actions. During the site removal and preparation tasks, the tanks will be high pressure spray washed (interior and exterior) and



FILE: \ECC\552\BOUNDARY
02-20-2001

AWD TECHNOLOGIES, INC



SITE BOUNDARIES

ENVIRO-CHEM SUPERFUND SITE

ZIONSVILLE, IN

CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION & CHEMICAL CORP. TRUST

JOB NO. 2259-552

SCALE: AS SHOWN

FIGURE
NUMBER

1-3

REV
0

surveyed with an organic vapor meter (OVM) for clearance and removal from the site contamination reduction zone (decontamination pad) and transfer to the dismantling area.

1.4.2 Miscellaneous Drums

Approximately 270 drums are also at the site which originated from past investigations and the remedial activities of previous and present contractors (i.e., drilling operations, pilot and field studies, etc.). An inventory of the number of drums and their location was taken during the November, 1992 AWD field reconnaissance. Many drums are in poor condition and several are bulging. All drums will be surveyed for organic vapors and visually inspected prior to handling for health and safety purposes and possible special handling requirements.

1.4.2.1 Drum Integrity Evaluation

Prior to handling any drum, a visual inspection and OVM scan will be performed on the drum exterior. OVM readings above background or drums which appear to be under pressure (bulging) shall immediately be brought to the attention of the Site Safety Officer (SSO).

1.4.2.2 Remote Opening

Because of the possibility of encountering a drum in a shock-sensitive state, any sealed, bulging drums to be moved or sampled will be remotely shaken. This will be performed by tying a rope around the drum and shaking it at a safe distance by an equipment operator (e.g., backhoe).

Most of the drums onsite have been exposed to the environment for several years. Oxidation may have corroded and fused the lid to the drum, or the bung may be deteriorated to the point that a wrench will not work. The use of some specialized equipment to gain access to the drum contents may be required. This will be especially important in instances where the drum appears to be under pressure. The following are suitable methods of gaining access to drum interiors, and can be used remotely at safe distances.

Bung Spinner

The bung spinner consists of:

- Air impact wrench with non-sparking adapter
- Drum-mounting bracket
- Two-stage regulator
- Compressed-air cylinder with 100 feet of air hose and control valve

The impact wrench is mounted over the bung on top of the drum by means of the steel-mounting bracket. The air tank, regulator, and control valve can be placed up to 100 feet away from the drum in a well-protected location.

Remote-Controlled Drill

The remote-controlled, air-operated, self-feeding, and self-retracting drill consists of:

- Self-feeding and self-retracting drill
- Drum-mounted bracket
- One hundred feet of air and control hoses
- Two-stage high-pressure regulator
- Compressed air cylinder
- Filter/regulator/lubricator unit

As with the bung spinner, the air tank, regulator, and control valves can be placed up to 100 feet away from the drum in a well-protected location. There are two controls on this piece of equipment, a start valve and an emergency retract valve.

Drum Piercer

The drum piercer consists of:

- Hydraulic ram with hand pump
- One hundred feet of hydraulic hose
- Drum-mounting bracket (top or side)
- Piercing nail

This unit uses the same bracket as the drum drill. The hydraulic ram slowly forces the steel piercer through the drum surface as the hand pump is operated. When the 1/2-inch diameter hole is complete, opening a relief valve on the pump allows the spring to retract the piercer from the hole.

Additionally, all drums which contain material will be checked visually and mechanically (with equipment used to lift and transport) for durability at the location where they now exist (see Appendix A, SPMR Technical Specifications). Drums which are determined to be deteriorated and unable to be moved (DUM) will be overpacked in-place.

1.4.2.3 Segregation and Staging

Following inspection of drums for shock-sensitivity and degradation, all drums will be staged at the existing concrete pad for segregation according to identifiable contents. Drums will also be staged in a manner as to provide access for removal of any liquid content or necessary sampling for subsequent full scale laboratory compatibility and chemical testing. Evaluation of alternative disposal options may be required for drums with contents which have no identifiable markings, or cannot be traced back to previous site activities.

Overpacks which may be required for certain DUM drums, should have all original identifiable drum markings recorded in field logbooks and on the outside of the overpack drum. All drums should be clearly numbered using the appropriate numbering system as outlined in Section 02082 - Drums of the SPMR Technical Specifications.

1.4.2.4 Empty

Certain drums were found to be empty during the inventory reconnaissance. It is suspected that these drums contained well purge or decontamination waters which froze and expanded the drums, causing them to split and drain their contents during a thaw period. Drums which are found empty or which have contents removed during the Site Preparation and Material Removal phase will be crushed and routed to the nonhazardous waste rolloff container.

1.4.2.5 Drill Cuttings, and Purge and Decontamination Waters

The aqueous phase of a drum's content for classified drums will be handled as outlined in Section 02082 - Drums and in Figures 4 and 5 in Appendix C of the SPMR Technical Specifications. Prior to initiation of sampling, all liquids will be bulked in an onsite licensed hazardous waste tanker supplied by the selected liquid waste disposal facility. As the tanker nears capacity the Contractor shall sample the bulked liquids and supply the disposal facility with the representative sample of the tanker. Disposal acceptance and costing will be determined by the disposal facility. The tanker shipment will be coordinated between the Contractor and disposal facility making sure that a replacement tanker is obtained. Records of volume of liquid received by the disposal facility and rental time for each tanker will be the responsibility of the Contractor.

1.4.2.6 Drill Cuttings and Solids Wastes

Drums which are found to contain solid waste such as personal protective equipment (PPE) and used sampling equipment will be emptied or the solid waste separated and removed from other drum contents, if possible, and routed to the solid hazardous waste disposal container (rolloff

box). Drill cuttings and soils will be transferred to the soils bulking rolloff container. Section 02082 - Drums and Figures 4 and 6 in Appendix C of the SPMR Technical Specifications provides the procedures for handling these wastes.

1.4.2.7 Unclassified Contents

Unclassified contents as related to the existing onsite drums means that the drum and contents are not discernable or traceable to any past site activity, and are not clearly identifiable from markings and exterior inspection.

Drums which cannot be identified or traced back to earlier site activities will be staged at the existing concrete pad for sampling. Compatibility testing may be performed if the amount of materials found to exist onsite justifies bulking compatible wastes for handling. Either the separate sources (drums) or the bulked materials as a composite will be further sampled for full-scale laboratory analysis and characterization. From this characterization, material handling options will be evaluated and selected. Selection of material handling options may include onsite stockpiling or bulking with liquid and/or solid hazardous wastes. Section 02082 - Drums and Figure 7 in Appendix C of the SPMR Technical Specifications provide the handling procedures for unclassified drummed contents.

1.4.3 **Structures and Miscellaneous Materials and Debris**

Tables 3 through 6 in Appendix A of the SPMR Technical Specifications show the materials and debris which exist inside the onsite buildings, in miscellaneous debris areas, and associated with past investigative activities. Most of the materials and debris are anticipated to be disposed of as solid nonhazardous waste, or salvaged and/or recycled.

The materials which make up the process buildings (i.e., block, aluminum siding, I-beams, roofing materials, wood, etc.) will be handled according to the following procedure:

- Composite Sampling

- Block, brick, concrete, wood, and miscellaneous materials associated with the process building will be sampled by compositing similar materials and analyzing them for RCRA toxicity characteristic. Assuming that results prove those materials are non-hazardous the analytical results will be submitted to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) Special Waste Section for one time disposal approval into a permitted sanitary (Subtitle D) landfill. Approval is contingent on submittal of application and review of the subject waste characterization. The sampling approach for these materials will also be submitted to IDEM for review. "Grab" samples of representative specimens of the structural nonmetallic portions of the building will be collected by drilling, chipping, or cutting these materials as necessary to obtain a suitable sample volume for preparation of the composite samples.

Composite sampling of similar materials will be performed for assessment of offsite disposal sources.

- Process Building

Gross contamination of the process building is not anticipated since the ECC Site Remedial Investigation Report (March 14, 1986) states that the building and equipment were cleaned under an earlier surface cleanup (November 9, 1983 entered Consent Decree).

- All metallic structure materials which are feasibly separated, such as aluminum siding and support beams, will be routed through decontamination and recycled. All materials unwanted by the salvage company shall be disposed of as non-hazardous waste.

The miscellaneous materials and debris will be handled in the following manner:

- Certain items such as fertilizers, pesticides, paints, etc. shall be handled as hazardous wastes and will be submitted to a hazardous waste landfill for "lab packing" protocol and proper disposal requirements.
- All other miscellaneous materials and waste will be listed on IDEM's special waste application and submitted for review and anticipated approval for disposal into a nonhazardous waste landfill.
- The large boiler within room 1 of the process building will be handled as hazardous waste and disposed of accordingly.

1.5 Target Parameters and Intended Usage

1.5.1 Field Parameters

All field testing will be in the form of general surveys for organic vapors, and will be used to provide health and safety data in relation to onsite labor, and to screen handled materials for organic contaminants. Organic vapor monitoring is covered in the HSP and is not discussed further in this QAPP.

1.5.2 Laboratory Parameters

Most laboratory parameters will be decided upon by the accepting waste disposal facility based on the information supplied by the Contractor through completion of waste profile sheets. Waste profile sheets are obtained from, and specific to each individual waste TSD facility. Information from Table 1-1 can be used to satisfy profiling of the liquid wastes which will be bulked in a liquid hazardous waste tanker.

IDEM's Office of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management has a "Special Waste Certification Application" which will be completed for the non-hazardous items referenced in Section 1.4.4.

Certain of these items will need to be analyzed under the Toxic Characteristics Leaching Procedure (TCLP) for leachable components. These items include the process building structural block between rooms and certain items which will require the judgement and coordination of the Contractor and IDEM. Analytical results on materials shown to be non-hazardous through TCLP testing will be attached to the Special Waste Certification Application for IDEM's review.

1.5.3 Data Quality Objectives (DQOs)

DQOs are qualitative and quantitative statements defined by U.S. EPA that specify the quality of the data required to support decisions made during site remediation activities and are based on the end uses of the data to be collected. As such, different data uses may require different levels of data quality.

DQO Level 3 will be the highest level of control used for all analytical work required during the Site Preparation and Material Removal Phase. This provides an intermediate level of data quality and will be used for material screening and engineering decisions. Engineering analyses will include the analytical methods listed in Table 7-1 (e.g., laboratory data with quick turnaround used for screening but without full CLP QC documentation).

The primary data uses for the ECC Site Preparation and Material Removal Phase sampling are to assess certain bulked matrixes, items, and debris for adequate chemical characterization and disposal facility acceptance. Some of the data may be used to support health and safety decisions (i.e., to establish the level of protection needed for sampling activities at the Site).

Page 1-23

2.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY


The U.S. EPA and IDEM will be responsible for the government reviews associated with the Site Preparation and Materials Removal phase of the Remedial Action. The Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation Trust (ECC Trust) will have the overall responsibility for implementing the Remedial Action at the Site. The Remedial Design Engineer is responsible for the preparation of the remedial design, the FSP, QAPP, and the CQAP for the SPMR activities, as well as the HSP. The SPMR Contractor(s) will prepare a Construction Quality Control (CQC) Plan for the construction activities based on the requirements of the CQAP.

The various QA and management responsibilities of key project personnel associated with environmental sampling and analysis are defined in the following subsections. A project organization chart, which includes the lines of authority, is included as Figure 2-1.

2.1 ECC Trust

The ECC Trust will have the overall responsibility for the implementation of the Remedial Action at the ECC Site. The ECC Trust and/or their designated ECC Trust's Engineer (Engineer) have the authority to commit the resources necessary to meet the project objectives and requirements.

The ECC Trust will: (1) provide the major point of contact with the U.S. EPA and IDEM for matters concerning the project; (2) ensure that the project activities meet the requirements of the Consent Decree; and (3) approve all external reports (deliverables) before their submission to the agencies.



1
2
3
9
2
R
T
H

AWD TECHNOLOGIES, INC



QUALITY ASSURANCE ORGANIZATION			
SITE PREPARATION & MATERIAL REMOVAL			
ENVIRO-CHEM SUPERFUND SITE ZIONSVILLE, IN			
CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION & CHEMICAL CORP. TRUST JOB NO. 2259-552			
SCALE:	AS SHOWN	FIGURE NUMBER	2-1
			REV 0

2.2 U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager

The U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager (RPM), will be responsible for overseeing the project and coordinating the U.S. EPA and IDEM's review and approval of remedial design and associated plans for the remediation activities.

2.3 IDEM Remedial Project Manager

The IDEM RPM will be responsible for overseeing the project and for conducting all IDEM reviews of the remedial design and associated plans.

2.4 AWD Technologies, Inc. Project Manager

The AWD Technologies, Inc. Project Manager is responsible for producing the Final Remedial Design for the SPMR and the associated plans (i.e., the FSP, QAPP, CQAP, and HSP), respectively.

2.5 Remedial Contractor Project Manager

The ECC Trust will select a Remedial Contractor(s) to perform the SPMR activities. The Contractor(s) Project Manager will have the overall responsibility for ensuring that the project meets the U.S. EPA objectives and the quality standards specified in this QAPP and the CQAP.

The Contractor(s) Project Manager will: (1) acquire and apply technical resources as needed to ensure performance within budget and schedule constraints; (2) orient, direct, and monitor all field leaders and support staff; (3) review the work performed on each task to ensure its quality, responsiveness, and timeliness; and (4) be responsible for the preparation and quality of the reports submitted to the agencies.

2.6 Remedial Contractor Construction Manager

The Construction Manager will be responsible for leading and coordinating the day-to-day activities of the various workers and subcontractors under their supervision. The Construction Manager will be a highly experienced environmental professional and will report directly to the Project Manager. Specific responsibilities will include: (1) implementation of field-related work plans; (2) assurance of schedule compliance; (3) coordination and management of field staff; (4) compliance with QA/QC requirements described in this QAPP; (5) compliance with the corrective action procedures described in this QAPP; and (6) participation in the preparation of the final report.

2.7 Remedial Contractor Technical Staff

The technical staff for this project will be drawn from the Remedial Contractors' pool of resources. The technical staff team will perform field tasks, analyze the data, and prepare the reports.

2.8 Remedial Contractor Environmental Quality Assurance Officer

The Environmental QA Officer (QAO) for the remedial and sampling activities at the Site will have the overall responsibility for the Remedial Contractors' compliance with the QA requirements. The QAO will review and approve all reports and corrective actions related to the Site; perform audits of the field activities and records; confirm subcontracted laboratory QA compliance; provide QA technical assistance to the remedial and technical staff; and report on the adequacy, status, and effectiveness of the QA program on a regular basis to the Contractor Project Manager.

J. L. L. L.
U.S. EPA Region V

ECC Site
SPMR QAPP
Revision 0
December 21, 1992
Section 2.0
Page 2-5

The QAO will also be responsible for validation of data reports on all sampling conducted under this phase. A letter validation report will be developed which contains a discussion on the results of the QA samples collected in the field and the laboratories internal QA analyses. The report should summarize the findings of the review and give an indication of the general quality of the data.

2.9 U.S. EPA Region V Quality Assurance Officer

The U.S. EPA Region V QAO will have the responsibility of reviewing and approving all QAPPs.

2.10 Subcontract Laboratories' Project Managers

The analyses to be performed by laboratory subcontractors are listed in Table 7-1. The laboratories will be selected by the Remedial Contractor and will be approved by the ECC Trust and U.S. EPA/IDEM. The laboratories' Project Managers will be responsible for coordinating and scheduling the laboratory analyses; supervising the in-house chain of custody; accepting requirements outlined within this QAPP; and overseeing the data review and preparation of the analytical reports.

2.11 Subcontract Laboratories' Quality Assurance Officers

The laboratories' QAOs will be responsible for overseeing the laboratory QA and the analytical results QA/QC documentation, conducting the data review, selecting any necessary laboratory corrective actions, adherence to applicable in-house Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), adherence to the QAPP, and approving the final analytical reports. Each laboratory may have more than one QAO if, for example, any of these various activities take place in different departments within the laboratory.

2.12 U.S. EPA Region V Central Regional Laboratory

The Laboratory Scientific Support Section of the Central Regional Laboratory (CRL) of U.S. EPA Region V will be responsible for external performance and system audits of the analytical laboratories.

2.13 Quality Assurance Submittals

A listing of Quality Assurance submittals and the personnel or organization responsible for preparation of the submittal, the recipient of the submittal, and the schedule for submissions is contained on Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1**QA SUBMITTALS**

Submittal	Preparer of Submittal	Recipient of Submittal	Schedule of Submissions
Laboratory Data (Raw)	Analytical laboratory	Environmental QA Officer of Remedial Contractor	28 days from receipt of samples
Validated Data and Validation Report	Environmental Quality Assurance Officer of Remedial Contractor	Remedial Contractor's Project Manager Quality Assurance Officer of U.S. EPA Region V IDEM (Special Waste Section)	14 days from receipt of data packages
Field Measurements Logbook	Field Personnel	Remedial Contractor's Project Manager	Upon completion of specified project phase
Sample Collection Data Logbook	Sampling Personnel	Remedial Contractor's Project Manager	Upon completion of specified project phase
Chain of Custodies	Sampling Personnel	Analytical Laboratory	Upon receipt of samples
QA Nonconformances - Field	Field Personnel	Appropriate Field Leader	Upon occurrence of nonconformance
QA Nonconformances - Laboratory	Laboratory Personnel	Analytical Laboratory's Quality Assurance Officer	Upon occurrence of nonconformance
Corrective Action Request (CAR)	Construction Manager	Engineer U.S. EPA Project Manager IDEM Project Manager	As necessary
Quality Assurance Report	Environmental QA Officer	Engineer U.S. EPA Project Manager IDEM Project Manager	28 days after project completion

3.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES

The overall QA objective is to develop and implement procedures for sampling, chain-of-custody, laboratory analyses, field measurements, and reporting that will provide data of a quality consistent with its intended use. Specific procedures for sampling, chain-of-custody, laboratory and field instrument calibrations, laboratory analysis, reporting of data, internal quality control, audits, preventative maintenance of equipment, and corrective action are described in other sections of this QAPP.

3.1 Level of QC Effort

Rinsate and trip blank, field duplicate, and matrix spike samples will be analyzed to assess the quality of the data resulting from the field sampling program. Rinsate blanks consisting of distilled water, used during field decon procedures on sampling equipment, will be submitted to the analytical laboratories to provide the means of assessing the quality of the data in respect to the field sampling program. Rinsate blank samples are analyzed to check for procedural contamination at the Site that may cause sample contamination while trip blanks are analyzed to check possible volatile organic compound cross contamination between samples during shipment and handling. Field duplicate samples are analyzed to check for sampling reproducibility. Matrix spikes (MS) provide information about the effect of the sample matrix on the digestion and measurement methodology.

The general level of the QC effort will be one field duplicate and one rinsate blank for every 10 or fewer samples. One extra volume of solid matrices needs to be collected for the MS analysis for TCLP parameters to be submitted for IDEM special waste certification.

3.2 Accuracy, Precision, and Sensitivity of Analyses

The QA objectives of laboratory analyses with respect to accuracy, precision, and sensitivity are to achieve the QC acceptance criteria of the analytical protocols. Accuracy and precision requirements for compatibility testing, TCLP, and PCB analyses which will be performed on certain site related solid waste are provided within the quality control sections of appropriate U.S. EPA's methods such as those outlined in Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (SW-846).

The QA objectives for field surveys conducted using real-time measuring instruments are to obtain reliable results of potential volatile organic vapors and potential explosive/O₂ deficient atmospheres in order to make health and safety decisions only. These protocols are contained in the Health and Safety Plan developed for this project.

3.3 Completeness, Representativeness, and Comparability

1. Completeness is a measure of the amount of valid data obtained from a measurement system compared to the amount that was expected to be obtained under normal conditions. It is expected that the laboratories will provide data which will supply the Contractor sufficient information to gain acceptance of waste materials into an approved waste disposal facility.

Representativeness expresses the degree to which data accurately and precisely represent a characteristic of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, or an environmental condition. Representativeness is a qualitative parameter that is dependent upon the proper design of the sampling program and proper selection of laboratory protocols. This sampling and analysis program is designed to provide data representative of the unwanted materials which are to be removed from the Site. The sampling procedures which are specified in the FSP were developed giving special consideration to existing analytical results from previous site investigations, the physical characteristics of the materials and debris, and the anticipated end disposal. Representativeness will be achieved using proper sampling and handling techniques (specified in the FSP), i.e., by properly preserving the samples, extracting and analyzing the

samples within the required holding times, and using clean and appropriate sample containers. The adequacy of the sampling procedures will be assessed by analyzing field duplicates.

Comparability expresses the confidence with which one data set can be compared with another. The extent to which existing and planned analytical data will be comparable depends on the similarity of sampling and analytical methods. The procedures used to obtain the planned analytical data, as described in the QAPP, are expected to provide comparable data. These new analytical data, however, may not be directly comparable to existing data because of differences in procedures, QA objectives, and media being tested.

4.0 FIELD SAMPLING PLAN (FSP)

The FSP for Site Preparation and Material Removal contains all information pertinent to the field sampling equipment and procedures, and is provided as Appendix A to this document.

5.0 SAMPLE CUSTODY PROCEDURES

This QAPP presents the sample custody protocols described in "NEIDC Policies and Procedures" (EPA-330/9-78-DDI-R, revised June 1985). Sample custody consists of three parts: sample collection, laboratory analysis, and final evidence files. A sample or evidence file will be considered under a person's custody if it: (1) is in a person's physical possession, (2) is in view of the person after he/she has taken possession, (3) has been secured by that person so that no one can tamper with the sample, or (4) has been secured by that person in an area that is restricted to authorized personnel. Final evidence files, including all originals of laboratory reports and field files, will be maintained in a secure area.

5.1 Field Chain-of-Custody Procedures

The field sampling and shipment procedures summarized below will ensure that the samples will arrive at the laboratory with the chain-of-custody intact. The protocols for specific sample numbering are included in the FSP.

5.1.1 Field Procedure

The field custody procedures to be followed by all sampling personnel include:

- The field sampler will be personally responsible for the care and custody of the samples until they are transferred or properly dispatched. As few people as possible will handle the samples.
- All samples will be tagged with sample numbers and locations.
- Sample tags will be completed for each sample using waterproof ink.

5.1.2 Field Logbooks/Documentation

Field logbooks will provide the means of documenting the activities performed at the Site. As such, entries will be in as much detail as possible so that persons going to the Site could reconstruct a particular situation without relying on memory.

Field logbooks will be bound, field survey books or notebooks. Logbooks will be assigned to field personnel, but will be stored in the document control center when not in use. Each logbook will be identified by a project-specific number.

The title page of each logbook will contain the following information:

- Person to whom the logbook is assigned
- Logbook number
- Project name
- Project start date
- Project end date

Entries into the logbook will contain a variety of information. At the beginning of each entry, the date, start time, weather, names of all sampling team members present, level of personal protection being used, and the signature of the person making the entry will be entered. The names of visitors to the Site, as well as the purpose of their visit will also be recorded in the field logbook.

All measurements will be recorded and all of the collected samples will be described in the field logbook. All entries will be made in ink, and no erasures will be permitted. If an incorrect entry is made, the information will be crossed out with a single strike out. Whenever a sample is collected or a measurement is taken, a detailed description of the location, which includes compass and distance measurements, shall be recorded. The numbers of the photographs taken of the location, if any, will also be noted. All equipment used to take measurements will be identified, along with the date of calibration, if applicable.

Samples will be collected following the sampling procedures specified in the FSP. The equipment used to collect samples will be noted, along with the time of sampling, sample description, and volume and number of containers. Sample identification numbers will be assigned prior to sample collection. Field QA/QC samples, which receive entirely separate sample identification numbers, will be noted under the sample description.

5.1.3 Transfer-of-Custody and Shipment Procedures

The transfer-of-custody and shipment procedures will be as follows:

- Samples will be accompanied by a properly completed chain-of-custody form. The sample numbers and locations will be listed on the chain-of-custody form. When transferring the possession of samples, the individuals relinquishing and receiving will sign, date, and note the time on the record. This record documents the transfer of custody of samples from the sampler to another person, to a permanent laboratory, or to/from a secure storage area.
- Samples will be properly packaged for shipment and dispatched to the appropriate laboratory or waste disposal facility for analysis, and/or acceptance approval, with a separate signed custody record enclosed in each sample box or cooler. Shipping containers will be secured with strapping tape and custody seals for shipment to the laboratory. Custody seals will be attached to the front right and back left of the cooler and will be covered with clear plastic tape. The cooler will be strapped shut with strapping tape in at least two locations.

Chain of Custody Form

5.2 Final Evidence Files Custody Procedures

The Remedial Contractor will maintain the Site Preparation and Material Removal evidence files. The evidence files will include all relevant records, correspondence, reports, logs, field logbooks, laboratory sample preparation and analysis forms, data packages, pictures, subcontractor reports, chain-of-custody records, and data review reports. The evidence files will be under the custody of the Remedial Contractor Project Manager in a locked, secure area.

6.0 CALIBRATION PROCEDURES AND FREQUENCY

This section describes the procedures for maintaining the accuracy of all the instruments and measuring equipment that are used for conducting field tests and laboratory analyses. These instruments and equipment should be calibrated prior to each use or on a scheduled, periodic basis.

6.1 Field Instruments/Equipment

Instruments and equipment used to gather, generate, or measure chemical parameters of interest will be calibrated with sufficient frequency and in such a manner to ensure that accuracy and reproducibility of results are consistent with the manufacturer's specifications.

Equipment to be used during the field sampling will be examined to certify that it is in operating condition. This includes checking the manufacturer's operating manual and the instructions for each instrument to ensure that all maintenance requirements are being observed. Field notes from previous sampling trips will be reviewed so that any prior equipment problems are not overlooked, and all necessary repairs to equipment have been carried out.

Calibration of field instruments will be performed at the intervals specified by the manufacturer or more frequently as conditions dictate. Field instruments will include an Organic Vapor Meter (OVM), and an explosimeter (LEL)/O₂ meter.

6.2 Laboratory Equipment

Calibration of laboratory equipment will be based on approved, written procedures. Records of calibration, repairs, or replacement will be filed and maintained by the designated laboratory personnel performing QC activities. These records will be filed at the location where the work is performed and will be subject to QA audit. For all instruments, the laboratory will maintain a repair staff with in-house spare parts or will maintain service contracts with vendors.

For the analyses conducted for the SPMR phase, the calibration procedures and frequencies specified in the applicable laboratory SOWs will be followed exactly. All laboratories chosen to perform work under this phase of the remedial action should have in place in-house operating programs detailing the method, materials, and schedules to be used in the routine inspection, auditing, cleaning, maintenance, testing, calibration, and/or standardization of equipment. Corrective action to be taken in the event of failure or malfunction of equipment shall be specified. The procedures must designate the person responsible for the performance of each operation. Written records must be maintained for all inspections, auditing, maintenance, testing, calibrating, and/or standardizing operations.

7.0 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

7.1 Laboratory Analysis

Table 7-1 provides a list of the parameters to be tested for and analytical methods to be followed by the chosen laboratories for each anticipated waste.

TABLE 7-1

SUMMARY OF FIELD SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Waste Media	Phase	No. of Samples	Sampling Device	Sample Container	Sample Preservation	Holding Time	Analysis	Method Reference	Data Quality Obj.
Unclassified Waste Drum	Solid	Unknown	Trowel/Spatula	(2) 8 oz. glass jars	None required	Within 24 hours	Compatibility Test ⁽¹⁾	Per 49 CFR 173 and SW-846	1
		Unknown		(2) 32 oz. wide mouth glass jars	None required	None required	RCRA TCLP	Per 40 CFR 261	3
		Unknown		(1) 8 oz. glass jar	Ice to 4°C	14 days extract 40 days analyze	PCBs	EPA-600/4-81-045	2
		Unknown		See note ⁽¹⁾	See note ⁽¹⁾	See note ⁽¹⁾	TSD Profile	See note ⁽¹⁾	2
Unclassified Waste Drum	Liquid/Sludge	Unknown	Open End Sampler	(2) 8 oz glass jars	None required	Within 24 hours	Compatibility Test	Per 49 CFR 173 and SW-846	1
		Unknown		(2) 32 oz. wide mouth glass jars	None required	None required	RCRA TCLP	Per 40 CFR 261	2
		Unknown		(2) 1-liter amber glass jars	Ice to 4°C	7 days extract 40 days analyze	PCBs	EPA-600/4-81-045	2
		Unknown		See note ⁽¹⁾	See note ⁽¹⁾	See note ⁽¹⁾	TSD Profile	See note ⁽¹⁾	2
Process Building Materials and Other Debris Intended for Special Waste Certification through IDEM	Solid	Unknown ⁽²⁾	Hammer and Chisel/Masonry Saw (Process Building Masonry)	(2) 32 oz. wide mouth glass jars	None required	None required	RCRA TCLP	Per 40 CFR 261	3
Bulked Liquid Waste/Tanker	Liquid	1/Tanker	Stainless steel Bailer/Open End Sampler	See Note ⁽¹⁾	See Note ⁽¹⁾	See Note ⁽¹⁾	TSD Profile	See Note ⁽¹⁾	2

Notes

- ⁽¹⁾ TSD profile analyses to be performed by waste receiver (treatment or disposal) as a confirmation of previous waste characterization. Sample containers and preservation requirements will be identified after TSD analyses requirements are determined.
- ⁽²⁾ There will be a minimum of one composite sample from each masonry block wall from each of the separate rooms within the old process building.
- ⁽³⁾ Compatibility testing will be run on unclassified waste regardless of the amount of material found on site. Additional sample volume may be required, and may be submitted to testing laboratory jointly, for TCLP characterization.

8.0 INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS

8.1 Field Sample Collection

All the field QC will be carried out in accordance with the procedures described in this QAPP. Field QC will include:

- Sample collection, including MS, field duplicates, and rinsate blanks as specified in Section 3.0 for use in the assessment of precision and accuracy, according to the sampling procedures established in the FSP.
- Proper decontamination of sampling equipment after each use, as described in the FSP.
- Proper calibration of the field instruments, as established in Section 6.1 of this QAPP.

8.2 Field Measurements

QA for field measurements will consist of review of OVM calibration and replication of measurements to ensure reproducibility.

8.3 Laboratory Analyses

The laboratories will implement a QA program and QC checks to ensure the generation of analytical data of known and documented usable quality. The Contractor shall obtain each subcontracted laboratory's QA/QC written program relating to the analysis which the laboratory is contracted to perform. This program should be placed as an addendum to this QAPP.

8.3.1 Quality Assurance Program

Commercial laboratories should have written QA/QC programs that provide rules and guidelines to ensure the reliability and validity of work performed. Compliance with the QA/QC program is coordinated and monitored by a QAO at each laboratory, who is independent of the operating departments. Internal QC procedures for analytical services will be conducted by the laboratories in accordance with their own in-house QA/QC program, which should include written documentation as described in Section 6.2 of this QAPP.

9.0 DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING

Procedures for documenting sample collection and custody, validating analytical data, and reporting the results of the material removal activities are covered in this section.

9.1 Data Reduction

9.1.1 Field Measurements and Sample Collection

Field measurements and sample collection data will be recorded in the field logbook. If these data are to be used in the project reports, they will be reduced and summarized, and the method of reduction will be documented in the specific report. Sample custody and analysis requests will be documented on chain-of-custody records and sample analysis request forms.

9.1.2 Laboratory Services

Analytical data reduction will be carried out by each laboratory performing analysis on waste material. The data reduction will be reviewed and checked as part of the data evaluation and decision making process for disposal options. Compounds detected in blanks will not be subtracted from analytical results of waste samples and will be reported separately.

Results obtained through the testing of wastes for TCLP parameters will not be corrected for analytical bias (spike recovery correction) as amended by U.S. EPA (November 24, 1992).

9.2 Data Validation

NO
Data validation will consist of review and evaluation of the field and laboratory QA/QC sample results by the Remedial Contractor's Environmental QAO. A letter report of this review will be submitted along with the laboratory results.

9.3 Reporting

Reporting of chemical and physical results on particular waste for removal will include the following:

- Cover sheets listing the samples included in the report.
- Tabulated results on waste compatibility testing as outlined in 49 CFR 173 (these test results will require special attention if a major portion of the drums are encountered as unclassified).
- Tabulated results on wastes analyzed for TCLP parameters.
- Analytical results for QC sample spikes, sample duplicates, and rinsate and trip blanks.

10.0 PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS

The Remedial Contractors' QAO for the ECC Site will monitor and audit the performance of QA/QC procedures to ensure that the SPMR activities are executed in accordance with the FSP and this QAPP.

10.1 Field Activities

QA audits of field measurements, sample collection, and sample custody procedures will be conducted by the Remedial Contractor Environmental QAO or by an appointed alternate on a periodic basis to document that field activities are performed in accordance with the FSP and this QAPP. These audits will be scheduled to allow oversight of as many field activities as possible. An initial audit will be conducted at the start of the project to ensure that all established procedures are being followed. Subsequent periodic audits will be made to ensure continued quality sampling and to correct any deficiencies.

The field audits will include an evaluation of sampling methods; sample handling and packaging; equipment use; equipment decontamination, maintenance, and calibration procedures; and chain-of-custody procedures. In addition, all records and documentation procedures will be reviewed to ensure compliance with the project requirements. Any deviations from the FSP or the QAPP will be recorded in the field notebook by the person conducting the audit, who will then inform the personnel involved in the activity of the problem and notify the Construction Manager for initiation of any necessary corrective action procedures.

10.2 Laboratory

All subcontractor laboratories used during the SPMR phase shall complete their own internal procedural and system audits as discussed in Section 6.2 of this QAPP. The Remedial Contractor will inform each chosen laboratory that the Remedial Contractor QAO, representatives of U.S. EPA Region V Central Regional Laboratory, and IDEM reserve the right to perform independent audits at any period of time before, during, and after the project activities.

11.0 PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE

11.1 Field Equipment

Preventative maintenance procedures for field equipment will be those recommended by the manufacturers. Field instruments will be checked and calibrated by the supplier prior to shipment and in the field as described in Section 6.1.

Critical spare parts will be kept onsite to minimize instrument down time. Back-up equipment will be available by 1-day shipment.

11.2 Laboratory Equipment

As part of their QA/QC program, the laboratories should be performing routine preventative maintenance to minimize the occurrence of instrument failure and other system malfunctions. The laboratories should have a designated internal group who are responsible for performing routine scheduled maintenance and repairing or coordinating the repair of all instruments with the appropriate vendor. All laboratory instruments should be maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and the requirements of the specific method being employed. This maintenance program should be carried out on a regular, scheduled basis, and documented in the laboratory service logbook for each instrument.

12.0 SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES USED TO ASSESS DATA PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND COMPLETENESS

12.1 Field Measurements

Field data will be assessed by the Construction Manager, who will review the field calibration logs and frequency as specified in the FSP and this QAPP. The accuracy of field measurements will be evaluated by using daily instrument calibration, and calibration checks.

12.2 Laboratory Data

Laboratory results will be assessed for compliance with the required precision, accuracy, completeness, and sensitivity as described in the following subsections.

12.2.1 Precision

The precision of laboratory analyses will be assessed by comparing the analytical results between matrix spike (MS) samples for organic analyses, and laboratory duplicate results for inorganic analyses.

The relative percent difference (%RPD) will be calculated for each pair of duplicate analyses by using Equation 12-1:

$$\%RPD = \frac{S - D}{(S + D) / 2} \times 100 \quad (\text{Equation 12-1})$$

Where:

S = First sample value (original or MS value)
D = Second sample value (duplicate or MSD value)

12.2.2 Accuracy

The accuracy of laboratory results will be assessed by using the analytical results of method blanks, reagent/preparation blanks, MS samples, and rinsate blanks. The percent recovery (%R) of MS samples will be calculated using Equation 12-2:

$$\%R = \frac{A - B}{C} \times 100 \quad (\text{Equation 12-2})$$

Where:

- A = The analyte concentration determined experimentally from the spiked sample
- B = The background level determined by a separate analysis of the unspiked sample
- C = The amount of the spike added

12.2.3 Completeness

The data completeness of laboratory analytical results will be assessed for compliance with the amount of data required for decision making. Data completeness will be calculated by using Equation 12-3:

$$\% \text{ Completeness} = \frac{\text{Useable Data Obtained}}{\text{Total Data Realized}} \quad (\text{Equation 12-3})$$

12.2.4 Sensitivity

The achievement of method detection limits depends on the instrument's sensitivity and matrix effects. Therefore, it is important to monitor the instrument's sensitivity to ensure the data quality through appropriate instrument performance. The instrument's sensitivity will be monitored through the analysis of method blanks, calibration check samples, and laboratory control samples.

13.0 CORRECTIVE ACTION

Corrective actions may be required for two classes of problems: sampling and analytical problems and noncompliance problems. Sampling and analytical problems may occur or be identified during the collection, handling, or preparation of a sample; laboratory instrument analysis; and data review.

For problems of noncompliance with the QAPP or the FSP, a corrective action program will be defined in accordance with this QAPP and implemented at the time the problem is identified. The person who identifies the problem is responsible for notifying the Contractor's Construction Manager or Project Manager. Implementation of the corrective action will be confirmed in writing through the same channels.

Corrective actions will be implemented and documented in the field logbook. No staff member will initiate corrective action without prior communication of findings through the proper channels. If corrective actions are insufficient, work may be stopped by a stop-work order from the U.S. EPA or IDEM.

13.1 Sample Collection/Field Measurements

Technical staff and project personnel will be responsible for reporting all suspected technical or QA nonconformances, or suspected deficiencies of any activity or issued document by reporting the situation to the Environmental QA Officer. The Construction Manager will discuss the suspected problems with the Contractor's Project Manager and QAO and if necessary with the ECC Trust, who will then make a decision based on the potential for the situation to affect the quality of the data. If it is determined that the situation is a reportable nonconformance requiring corrective action, the U.S. EPA and IDEM's RPMs will be notified, and a nonconformance report will be initiated by the Contractor's Project Manager.

The Contractor's Project Manager will be responsible for ensuring that any corrective action for nonconformances is initiated by:

- Evaluating all reported nonconformances.
- Controlling additional work on nonconforming items.
- Determining disposition or action to be taken, in consultation with the ECC Trust if necessary and, if warranted by the situation, with the U.S. EPA's and IDEM's RPMs.
- Maintaining a log of nonconformances.
- Reviewing nonconformance reports and corrective actions taken.
- Ensuring that nonconformance reports are included in the final site documentation in project files.

If appropriate, the Project Manager will ensure that no additional work that is dependent on the nonconforming activity is performed until the corrective actions are completed.

Corrective actions for field measurements may include:

- Repeating the measurement to check the error
- Checking batteries
- Checking the calibration of the instrument
- Recalibrating the instrument
- Replacing the instrument or measurement devices
- Stopping work (if necessary)

The Construction Manager will be responsible for all site activities. In this role, the Construction Manager may have to adjust the site programs to accommodate site-specific needs. When it becomes necessary to modify a program, the Construction Manager will notify the Contractor's Project Manager of the anticipated change and will implement the necessary changes after obtaining the approval of the agencies. The change in the program will be documented on a Corrective Action Request (CAR) form that will be signed by the Construction Manager. The CARs will be numbered serially, as required, and will be attached to the file copy of the affected document. The U.S. EPA and IDEM's RPMs must approve the change in writing or verbally prior to field implementation, if feasible. Otherwise, the action taken during the period of modification will be evaluated to determine the significance of any departure from established program practices or the actions taken.

The Contractors' Project Managers are responsible for controlling, tracking, and implementing the identified changes. Reports on all changes will be distributed to all affected parties, including the U.S. EPA and IDEM's RPMs. The RPMs will be notified whenever program changes are made in the field.

13.2 Laboratory Analyses

Corrective actions at the laboratories will be required whenever an out-of-control event or potential out-of-control event is noted. The investigative action taken will be somewhat dependent on the analysis and the event. Laboratory personnel will be alerted that corrective actions may be necessary if:

- QC data are outside the warning or acceptable windows for precision and accuracy.
- Blanks contain target analytes above acceptable levels.
- Undesirable trends are detected in spike recoveries or in the %RPD between duplicates or MS.

- Unusual changes in detection limits are identified.
- Deficiencies are detected by the QA department during internal or external audits or from the results of performance evaluation samples if used.
- Inquiries concerning data quality are received.

Corrective action procedures will often be handled at the bench level by the analyst, who will review the preparation or extraction procedure for possible errors; check the instrument calibration, spike and calibration mixes, and instrument sensitivity; and conduct other QA/QC reviews. If the problem persists or cannot be identified, the matter will be referred to the laboratory supervisor, Project Manager, and/or QA department for further investigation. Once resolved, full documentation of the corrective action procedure will be filed with the QA department. If the problem requires resampling or is not correctable in the laboratory, the laboratory QAO will notify the Contractor's Project Manager. The Contractor's Project Manager will decide, in consultation with the ECC Trust and (if warranted by the significance of the problem) with the U.S. EPA and IDEM's RPMs, the corrective actions to be implemented.

14.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Quality Assurance reports will be issued by the ECC Trust Remedial Contractors. These documents will: (1) contain information that summarizes the QA activities in both the field and the laboratory, including audit results; (2) discuss any quality issues that required corrective action and document the corrective action that was taken; and (3) note any project problems that have occurred and any QA/QC issues that have been satisfactorily completed. Any problem serious enough to require significant actions (e.g., changing from an approved laboratory) will be reported to the U.S. EPA and IDEM's RPMs within 5 days of the occurrence.

15.0 REFERENCES

ERM-North Central, March 1992. "Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation," Indiana.

CH2M Hill, 1983. "Remedial Action Master Plan, Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation," 01-5V30.

CH2M Hill, 1986. "Final Remedial Investigation Report. Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation, Indiana."

U.S. EPA, 1980. "Internal Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," QAMS-005/80, Washington, D.C..

U.S. EPA, March 1987. "Data Quality Objectives for Remedial Response Activities," EPA 540/6-87/003.

U.S. EPA, Region V, January 1992. "U.S. EPA Region V QAPP Element Checklist".

U.S. EPA, May 1991. "Model Quality Assurance Project Plan," Region V, Office of RCRA.

U.S. EPA, May 1985. "Guidance Document for Cleanup of Surface Tank and Drum Sites," Camp, Dresser, and McKee, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A
FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

SITE PREPARATION AND MATERIAL REMOVAL

PRE-FINAL DESIGN ENVIRO-CHEM SUPERFUND SITE ZIONSVILLE, INDIANA

**Prepared For:
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION AND
CHEMICAL CORPORATION TRUST**

**Prepared By:
AWD TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA**

AWD PROJECT NUMBER 2259

DECEMBER 1992

NOTICE

This document is a portion of the overall design package and, therefore, cannot be referenced, in whole or in part, as a standalone document for any other purpose.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1	General	2-1
2.2	Regulatory Requirements	2-1
2.3	Summary of Work	2-4
2.3.1	Materials for Removal	2-4
3.0	FIELD SAMPLING PLAN OBJECTIVES	3-1
3.1	Objectives	3-1
4.0	MATERIAL HANDLING AND STAGING	4-1
4.1	Tanks	4-1
4.2	Miscellaneous Drums	4-1
4.2.1	Drum Integrity Evaluation	4-1
4.2.2	Segregation and Staging	4-1
4.2.3	Sampling Requirements	4-2
4.3	Bulked Liquids	4-2
4.4	Process Building	4-3
4.5	Miscellaneous	4-3
5.0	SAMPLING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES	5-1
5.1	"Unclassified" Drum Waste	5-1
5.1.1	Drum Sampling Equipment	5-1
5.1.2	Composite Sampling	5-1
5.1.3	Procedures	5-2
5.2	Bulked Liquids (Tanker)	5-3
5.2.1	Liquid Waste Tanker Sampling Equipment	5-3
5.2.2	Procedures	5-3
5.3	Building Materials (Structural Non-Metal)	5-5
5.3.1	Structural Materials Sampling Equipment	5-5
5.3.2	Procedures	5-5
5.4	Other Sampling Equipment	5-6
5.5	Other Sampling Activities	5-6
5.6	Sample Frequency	5-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
6.0	SAMPLING EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION	6-1
6.1	General	6-1
6.2	Applicability	6-1
6.3	Procedures	6-1
6.3.1	Decontamination Equipment List	6-2
6.3.2	General Equipment Decontamination Procedure	6-3
7.0	SAMPLE HANDLING AND TRACKING	7-1
7.1	Sample Identification	7-1
7.2	Field Documentation	7-2
7.3	Chain-of-Custody	7-3
7.4	Sample Packaging and Shipping	7-3
7.4.1	Environmental Samples	7-4
7.4.2	Medium or High Concentration Hazardous Waste Samples	7-5
APPENDIX		
A	REMOVAL ITEM	
	INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLES	
	(INVENTORY PERFORMED ON NOVEMBER 13, AND 14, 1992)	

FIGURES

NUMBER

PAGE

2-1	Site Location Map
2-2	Site Map

2-2
2-3

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Field Sampling Plan (FSP) has been developed and is being submitted as a Pre-Final (90%) Design for the Site Preparation and Material Removal (SPMR) phase of the Remedial Actions to be conducted at the Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation Site (ECC Site), located in Zionsville, Indiana.

ERM-North Central has previously submitted a number of versions of a two-part Sampling and Analysis Plan for the ECC Site which contained a Part I - Field Sampling Plan and a Part II - Quality Assurance Project Plan. The Sampling and Analysis Plan addressed site preparation, material removal and remedial action activities, although the plan primarily focused on remedial action activities.


The previous ERM-North Central submittals of the Sampling and Analysis Plans and the corresponding U.S. EPA Region V comments are as follows:

1. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 0, March 1, 1989
2. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 1, December 10, 1991
3. U.S. EPA Region V Comments on Revision 1, February 21, 1992
4. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 2, March 24, 1992

AWD Technologies, Inc. (AWD) has revised the ERM-North Central Sampling and Analysis Plan, Revision 2, to further address the U.S. EPA comments. The previous Sampling and Analysis Plan two-part format has been modified to include the Field Sampling Plan as part of the Quality Assurance Project Plans. The Sampling and Analysis Plan terminology is not used in the AWD plans.

The Pre-Final Design for the ECC Site has been further modified to include two design packages: (1) Site Preparation and Material Removal and (2) Remedial Action. The Site Preparation and Material Removal phase includes preparation of the support zone and removal of above ground tanks, buildings, drums, and miscellaneous debris. The Remedial Action phase includes in-situ soil treatment by soil vapor extraction, capping of the soil treatment area, and verification and compliance monitoring.

This FSP is intended to cover all necessary sampling and analytical procedures to be implemented during preparation of the site when removal of obstructing and miscellaneous materials and debris will occur. This FSP is designed to provide adequate classification and profiling of the materials listed in Appendix A of the Contract Technical Specifications in order to satisfy acceptance criteria for offsite disposal facilities.



AWD TECHNOLOGIES, INC




SITE LOCATION MAP
ENVIRO-CHEM SITE, ZIONSVILLE, IN

SCALE: AS SHOWN

FIGURE NUMBER 2-1

REV 0



AWD TECHNOLOGIES, INC



SITE MAP
ENVIRO-CHEM SITE, ZIONSVILLE, IN

SCALE: AS SHOWN

FIGURE NUMBER 2-2

REV 0

Various solid waste materials are present at the Site both within the remedial boundary and within the support zone. Emergency actions undertaken prior to 1990 have resulted in the removal of the major sources of contamination. The materials at the Site include cleaned tanks, the process building, the A-frame structure, the concrete pad with approximately 250 drums, and miscellaneous debris. These materials will be removed under a separate contract prior to implementation of the Remedial Action.

2.3 Summary of Work

The Site Preparation and Material Removal phase includes the following:

- Preparation of a site support zone which will consist of facilities to support the materials removal efforts and subsequent corrective actions; placement of temporary controls; and design and layout of ingress, egress (personnel and traffic), and materials handling and storage areas.
- Remove physical obstructions including tanks, drums, buildings, debris, and any other above ground obstructions prior to initiation of remedial design construction.

Sampling and analyses will be performed on selected materials for removal based on visual classifications, field screening, and RCRA waste characterization.

2.3.1 Materials for Removal

Tables 1 through 6 in Appendix A are an inventoried listing of materials which are to be removed during this phase of the remedial action. Figure 2-1 and Contract Drawing C-4 show the locations of these materials.

3.0 FIELD SAMPLING PLAN OBJECTIVES

3.1 Objectives

The objectives of the FSP are to:

1. Describe applicable procedures for the collection of representative samples from waste and debris for subsequent characterization and offsite disposal.
2. To assure that samples are collected in a fashion that will provide the highest level of confidence in subsequent testing and results so that material waste and debris can be directed toward appropriate disposition.

4.0 MATERIAL HANDLING AND STAGING

4.1 Tanks

Presently 53 used process tanks are staged on the west side of the ECC property (Appendix A, Table 1). Additionally, there are a few smaller volume fuel tanks which are among the building and outside debris. The old process tanks will be handled according to Section 02081 - Tanks and Figure 1 in Appendix C of the Site Preparation and Material Removal Technical Specifications. The miscellaneous small fuel tanks will be checked for any content, and the content removed and staged for sampling.

4.2 Miscellaneous Drums

Presently, there exists approximately 270 drums anticipated to have originated from past investigations and the remedial activities of previous and present contractors (i.e., drilling operations, pilot, and field studies, etc.). All drums and drum content will be investigated and removed during SPMR phase of the remedial action. An inventory of the number of drums and their location was taken during the November, 1992 AWD field reconnaissance (Appendix A, Table 2). Many drums are in poor condition and several are bulging. All drums will be surveyed for organic vapors and visually inspected prior to handling for health and safety purposes and possible special handling requirements.

4.2.1 Drum Integrity Evaluation

Prior to handling or sampling of any drum those precautions and procedures as discussed in Sections 1.4.2.1 and 1.4.2.2 of the SPMR QAPP will be carried out.

4.2.2 Segregation and Staging

Prior to any required sampling, those tasks as outlined in Section 1.4.2.3 of the SPMR QAPP will be performed.

4.2.3 Sampling Requirements

Drums which are determined to contain materials other than those accumulated during previous investigations, and those drums with contents not discernable by markings or visual inspection shall be considered "unclassified" material and will require sampling. Samples will be collected under the following steps:

1. A determination of the Remedial Contractor as to whether the amount of unclassified drummed material, and apparent differing contents, warrants the need for laboratory compatibility testing to composite compatible materials for subsequent re-sampling for more complete characterization.
2. Sampling of individual drums and/or composite sampling compatible drums for TCLP parameter testing. If it is apparent that composite sampling will not be useful then sample volumes to satisfy both 1 and 2 can be obtained and submitted together.

This approach will be followed for both liquid and solid constituents of "unclassified" drummed material. These analytical testing requirements are necessary to fulfill the TSD profiling of waste for acceptance. Additional sample volumes may be required for verification by the TSD facility.

Full scale laboratory testing may show that certain unclassified drum materials are suitable for handling using the same procedure as the classified drums. In this case, the solids may be left on-site for anticipated SVE treatment and capping and the liquids bulked in the on-site liquid hazardous waste tanker loads.

4.3 Bulked Liquids

Onsite bulking of liquid waste will be the greatest volume for handling and disposal considerations. Liquid waste will originate from past investigative accumulations and SPMR phase cleaning and decontamination activities. Liquids will continually be bulked in an onsite liquid hazardous waste tanker supplied by the liquid treatment facility or other licensed general hauler. Initial profiling should be completed using the chemical information presented in Table 1-1 of the SPMR QAPP since it is anticipated that accumulated waste drums and

decontamination waters will present no great deviation in characteristics or concentration as those ranges recognized from the Remedial Investigation.

Sampling of the hazardous waste tanker may be required by the TSD facility per load for verification. This sampling will probably be conducted by the TSD facility per load for waste stream verification and probably will be conducted by TSD facility personnel. When it is suspected that decontamination activities may produce wastewater which would alter the composition of the bulked liquids then a sample will be required for laboratory characterization prior to bulking. These waters should be held separately until found compatible with the tanker liquids and/or acceptable to the liquid waste treatment facility.

4.4 Process Building

Tables 3 through 6 in Appendix A show the materials and debris which exist inside the onsite buildings, in miscellaneous debris areas, and associated with past investigative activities. Most of the materials and debris are anticipated to be disposed of as solid nonhazardous waste, or salvaged and/or recycled.

The non-metallic materials which make up the process building (i.e., block, roofing materials, wood, etc.) will be handled according to the following:

- Block, brick, concrete, wood, and miscellaneous materials associated with the old process building will be sampled by compositing similar materials and analyzing them for RCRA toxicity characteristics. Analytical results will be submitted to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) Special Waste section for anticipated one-time disposal approval into an IDEM permitted municipal waste landfill.

4.5 Miscellaneous

Certain items such as fertilizers, pesticides, paints, etc. shall be handled as hazardous wastes and will be submitted to a hazardous waste landfill for "lab packing" protocol and proper disposal requirements. Samples from these items may be required, and will be coordinated between the Remedial Contractor and the TSD facility for sampling and profiling.

5.0 SAMPLING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES

5.1 "Unclassified" Drum Waste

5.1.1 Drum Sampling Equipment

- Bung wrench
- 15/16 inch open end and/or socket wrench
- Glass sampling tubes
- Coliwasa tubes
- Drum markers
- Trowels or stainless steel spoons
- Sample containers

5.1.2 Composite Sampling

A composite sampling strategy may be employed for RCRA characterization of "unclassified" drums to reduce the total number of samples for analyses. Composite sampling is defined as combining individual samples from within one common group into a single mixed sample for analyses.

Compositing shall be performed based on the number of drums within a common compatibility class. This determination will be made in the field after the drums are opened and compatibility of the contents is determined.

Composite samples shall be taken by combining equal volumes of sample from individual drums within a common compatibility class. A maximum of 20 individual drums shall be used for each composite.

5.1.3 Procedures

Procedures for collecting drum samples are outlined below.

1. Record any markings, special drum conditions, and type of opening in the field notebook.
2. Mark drum with an identification number and record same in logbook.
3. Make certain that the drum is set on firm base, preferably in a fully upright position.
4. Select appropriate sampling device(s) and containers.
5. Exercising caution, open the bung top or drum lid. (See Section 4.2.1 for sealed, 'bulging drums'.)
6. For liquids insert glass tubing almost to the bottom of the drum or until a solid layer is encountered. About 1 foot of tubing should be exposed above the drum. For solids, if they are viscous enough to allow penetration by the glass tube, push the tube until solid refusal (hopefully drum bottom).
7. Allow the waste in the drum to reach its natural level in the tube. Place thumb or tapered stopper over the top of the sampling tube.
8. Carefully remove the tube from the drum and insert into sample container. Release thumb or remove stopper and allow the glass thief to drain completely into the sample container. (Note: If using a Coliwasa sampler, wipe the sample tube with a disposable cloth while removing the tube from the drum.) If solids and liquids are in the drum, note all phases, and pour off liquids out of the top of the glass tube into sample container. The tube can be broken above the solids levels and the portion holding the solids placed into a separate sample container.
9. Repeat above procedures until approximately 100 to 250 ml of sample is collected.

10. Place any paper towels or waste sorbent pads used to wipe up any spills into container designated for such. The remaining glass tubing may be broken and left inside the drum being sampled. (Note: A new sample tube will be used for each drum.)
11. Cap the sample container tightly and place in container carrier. Make sure that sample has been labeled and identified.
12. Replace the bung or drum lid and secure.

5.2 Bulked Liquids (Tanker)

5.2.1 Liquid Waste Tanker Sampling Equipment

- Bailers
- Open tube samplers
- Pond samplers
- 250 ml glass beakers
- PVC pipe of sufficient strength
- Wrenches

5.2.2 Procedures

Field sampling procedures for collecting tanker content samples using an open tube sampler, pond sampler, or an open bucket sampler are as follows:

1. Gain access (e.g., steps, ladders, man-lift, etc.) to the tanker's top.
2. Slowly open release valve (if any) to bring the tanker to atmospheric pressure.
3. Loosen access port/cover bolts and remove port/cover.
4. If no access port/cover is available, unscrew cap of top opening.

5. Insert a decontaminated sampling device into tanker slowly to allow stratified content (if any) to fill the sampler. (Note: Samples will be collected at different horizontal and vertical points.)
6. Retrieve the sampling device and wipe it with a disposable absorbent pad (place the pad in appropriate container).
7. Transfer the sample(s) into appropriate containers.
8. Repeat Step 5 until enough sample volume is obtained, as required.
9. Cap the sample container tightly and place in container carrier, make sure sample has been labeled and identified.
10. Replace cap or access cover and secure.

If sample collection from the bottom valve is required, the following additional steps will be included:

1. Make sure that sampling is carried out on the wastewater storage pad.
2. Place sample container beneath the valve.
3. Open valve slowly to ensure a slow, controlled flow of material.
4. After obtaining enough material, close valve securely.
5. Cap the sample container tightly and place in container carrier. Make sure sample has been labeled and identified.
6. Check valve for any signs of leaking. If leaking is not observed, prick up visqueen and sorbent pads, and place in appropriate container.

5.3 Building Materials (Structural Non-Metal)

5.3.1 Structural Materials Sampling Equipment

- Hammers
- Chisels
- Masonry saw and blades
- Masonry drills
- Ladders

5.3.2 Procedures

This sampling approach must be submitted and reviewed by IDEM, and will consist of physically (i.e., drilling, hammering, cutting, etc.) "grab" sampling representative specimens of the structural nonmetallic portions of the building. This may include composite sampling of suspected or visually contaminated areas.

- Visually inspect process building masonry walls and other structures for visually contaminated surfaces. (It is anticipated that the boiler room may be an ideal area to conduct multiple sampling since it is recorded that solvents were burned here.)
- Plan out a representative sampling approach to any adjacent large areas stained or suspect.
- At least one sample per wall per room will be composited for subsequent analysis.
- Remove representative portions of cinder block, brick, concrete.
- Collect pieces which have been unaffected by the destructive sampling (unscarified surface).
- Place pieces into appropriate sample containers.

5.4 Other Sampling Equipment

The following equipment may be used for some, if not all sampling activities:

- Vacuum pumps
- Tool box (miscellaneous tools)
- Sample containers
- Latex gloves
- Water (potable, distilled)
- Vermiculite (packing material)
- Sample labels
- Indelible markers
- Duct tape
- Plastic bags (trash, sandwich, Ziploc, etc)
- Clamps (stainless steel or Teflon)
- Rope, cord
- Paper towels
- Spatulas
- Brushes
- Paint cans (1 gallon, empty)
- Plastic sheeting (Visqueen)
- Sorbent pads
- Utility knife

5.5 Other Sampling Activities

The Sampling Team Leader will be responsible for recording all pertinent information into the sample logbook. At a minimum this will include the following:

- Sample location
- Sample number
- Material phase (i.e., solid, liquid, sludge, etc.)
- Sample time
- Sampler's initials
- Other important observations

The above is in addition to other entries made at the start of each work day. Once sampling has been completed in a particular building(s), the Sampling Team Leader will be responsible for delivering the samples to the sample receiving area at the decontamination pad. The Sampling Team Leader will then complete a chain-of-custody form and assist in readying the samples for shipment. This will involve documentation of sample numbers, date, time, and preservatives, as appropriate, as well as packing the "coolers" for shipment. Should there be an insufficient number of samples or some other reason for not readying samples for shipment, samples will be stored in the appropriate preservative until such time as they will be shipped.

5.6 Sample Frequency

Sample frequency and quantities are presented in Table 7-1 of the SPMR QAPP. Most sampling frequencies will be a field determination by the Remedial Contractor based on the characteristics of the materials with respect to visual classification (drums), field organic vapor screening, and RCRA waste characteristics.

6.0 SAMPLING EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION

6.1 General

The following describes standard operating procedures for the decontamination of equipment and tools that may come into direct contact with a field sample intended for analytical analysis. This procedure only addresses the decontamination of equipment as it pertains to the chemical integrity of samples for analysis and is not intended for use in health and safety decontamination of personnel, materials, and equipment that may become contaminated during field operations.

6.2 Applicability

Decontamination of all analytical devices, sampling tools, and storage equipment that may come into direct contact with a field sample are necessary in order to achieve analytical results that are representative of true field conditions.

The decontamination procedures below may be modified as long as the chemical integrity of the field sample is maintained within the analytical detection limits and the sample source is not permanently compromised. Anticipated contaminants and concentrations, media (water, soil, etc.), surface area of possible cross contamination, method of sampling, and many other factors will be considered when establishing a sampling equipment decontamination procedure. Any modification of the procedures below will be carefully thought out, approved by the Construction Manager, and documented accordingly.

6.3 Procedures

All equipment will be considered contaminated unless determined otherwise. In order to provide consistency to the decontamination procedure, a designated sampling team crew member will be responsible for equipment decontamination. Similarly, it is desirable to decontaminate all the equipment necessary for a field task in the laboratory prior to mobilization. In this way, field decontamination will be limited.

6.3.1 Decontamination Equipment List

The following equipment is needed for equipment decontamination:

- Clean disposable rubber gloves
- Wastewater container (drum)
- Clean water spraying device
- Clean brushes
- Plastic garbage bags
- Ten percent nitric acid solution in squirt bottle (squirt bottle is not recommended for transportation)
- Acetone or methanol in squirt bottle (squirt bottle is not recommended for transportation)
- Deionized/distilled water (DI water)
- Clean buckets and other containers, as needed (small plastic swimming pool)
- Plastic ground sheet (Visqueen)
- Aluminum foil
- Package labels and pen
- Potable water, warm if available
- Steam cleaner (optional)

6.3.2 General Equipment Decontamination Procedure

The following steps will be considered the general equipment decontamination procedure:

- Cover hands with disposable rubber gloves.
- Wash and scrub as necessary with a solution of non-phosphate detergent and potable water (warm water if available). Thorough steam cleaning may be used as a substitute for this step.
- Rinse thoroughly with potable water (warm water if available).
- Rinse with 10 percent nitric acid solution.
- Rinse with DI water. ✓
- Rinse with ~~acetone~~, hexane, or methanol.
- Rinse with DI water.
- Air dry.

The nitric acid rinse is only required if inorganic (i.e., metals and general chemistry parameters) analysis is intended for the sample. The solvent rinse is only required for organic analysis.

All waste liquids and solids generated by the decontamination procedure will be containerized and disposed of properly.

Decontaminated equipment not intended for immediate use may be placed in plastic bags and sealed. All handling of decontaminated equipment will be performed using disposable rubber gloves. Care will be exercised in the storage of decontaminated equipment. Sampling personnel will avoid solvents, greases, oils, gasoline, water, dusts, and other potential sources that might contaminate the equipment before use.

7.0 SAMPLE HANDLING AND TRACKING

7.1 Sample Identification

Each sample collected will be assigned a unique identification number and placed in an appropriate sample container. Each sample container will have a sample label affixed to the outside with the date, time of sample collection, site name, type of sample, and sampler's name recorded on the label. In addition, this label will contain the sample identification number, analysis required and chemical preservative added, if any. All documentation will be completed in waterproof ink.

The sample identification number will be a unique alphanumeric code which will identify the project site, sample location, sample type, and sample number. The sample ID for specific locations will have the following for group identifiers:

Site Code - Sample Location - Sample Type - Sample Number

The alphanumeric code for each sample will initiate with the three-letter project site code for the Environmental Conservation and Chemical Corporation Trust (ECC Trust). This will be followed by the sample locations which will be identified by a two-digit number corresponding to the inventory followed by an A1-6 if located in any of the debris areas.

The sample type identifiers will be as follows:

- PBM - Process Building Material
- TK - Tanker Content
- DRS - Drum Solid
- DRL - Drum Liquid

For example, the first sample from an unclassified drum located in the Debris Area 6 will be identified as:

ECC-04A6-DRL (or S) - 01

This is an optional identification tracking system, the Remedial Contractor may create a different approach which should be documented and approved by the Engineer. Movement of materials during segregation and staging would necessitate the updating of the inventory tables, if the above system is used.

7.2 Field Documentation

Field notebooks will be maintained by the Sampling Team Leader to record all data collecting activities performed at the site. Entries will be as descriptive and detailed as necessary so that a particular situation can be reconstructed without reliance on the collector's memory. The cover of each book will contain the following information:

- Project name and number
- Project location
- Book number
- Activity type
- Start date
- Stop date

At a minimum, entries will consist of the following:

- Date
- Start date
- Weather
- Field personnel present
- Signature of the person making the entry
- Type of activity conducted
- Sampling location
- Sample identification number
- Description of depth of sampling point
- Type of sample (matrix)
- Pertinent field observations

All measurements made and samples collected will be recorded. All entries will be made in indelible ink. No erasures will be permitted. If an incorrect entry is made, the data will be

crossed out with a single strike mark and initialed. Entries will be organized into easily understandable tables, if possible.

7.3 Chain-of-Custody

To maintain and document sample possession, the following chain-of-custody procedures will be followed. A chain-of-custody record will be completed once the samples are brought to the on-site sample receiving area. This record will include, but not be limited to, the following information:

- Project name and number
- Name(s) of sampler
- Sample identification number and location
- Date and time of collection
- Number and type of containers
- Required analyses
- Preservatives
- Courier
- Signatures documenting change of sample custody

Chain-of-custody forms will accompany any and all samples which are shipped off-site. When transferring possession of the samples, the individuals relinquishing and receiving the samples will sign, date, and note the time of transfer on the record. A commercial delivery service (for example, Federal Express) will be identified by company name only. Additionally, the samples will remain in the physical possession of the person assigned to the sample until they are shipped to the laboratory or will be placed in a locked storage facility prior to shipping. The original chain-of-custody record will accompany the sample to the analytical laboratory and will be returned to the Remedial Contractor with the analytical results. A copy of each record will be placed in the project file.

7.4 Sample Packaging and Shipping

Samples will be shipped as environmental samples according to applicable guidance documents and DOT regulations.

7.4.1 Environmental Samples

Sample packaging and shipping procedures are described below:

- Secure sample bottle lids with strapping tape or evidence tape. Check that sample label is securely attached.
- Mark volume level on bottle with grease pencil.
- Place about 3 inches of inert cushioning material such as vermiculite in bottom of cooler.
- Place containers in cooler in such a way that they do not touch.
- Put VOA vials in Ziploc bag and place them in the center of the cooler.
- Pack bottles in loose ice or ice in plastic bags.
- Fill cooler with cushioning material.
- Put paperwork in plastic bags and tape to inside lid of cooler.
- Tape drain shut.
- After acceptance by Federal Express or shipper, wrap cooler completely with strapping tape at two locations. Do not cover any labels.
- Place lab address on top of cooler.
- Put "THIS SIDE UP" labels on all four sides and "FRAGILE" labels on at least two sides. ("FRAGILE" labels are optional for coolers not containing glass bottles.)
- Affix signed custody seals on front right and back left of cooler. Cover seals with wide, clear tape.

7.4.2 Medium or High Concentration Hazardous Waste Samples

Samples from unclassified drums may require packaging and shipping according to applicable guidance documents and DOT regulations for medium or high concentration hazardous waste samples. Sample packaging and shipping are described below:

- Secure sample jar lids with strapping tape or evidence tape.
- Position jar in Ziploc bag so that tags may be read and seal bag.
- Place 1/2 inch of cushioning material in the bottom of metal can.
- Place jar in can and fill remaining volume of can with cushioning material.
- Close the can using three clips equally spaced to secure the lid.
- Write sample identification number on can lid. Indicate "THIS SIDE UP" by drawing an arrow and place "FLAMMABLE LIQUID N.O.S." label, if appropriate, on can.
- Place 1 inch of packing material in bottom of cooler.
- Place cans in cooler and fill remaining volume of cooler with packing material.
- Put paperwork in plastic bags and tape to inside lid of cooler.
- Tape drain shut.
- After acceptance by the shipper, tape cooler completely around with strapping tape at two locations. Do not cover any labels.
- Place lab address on top of cooler.
- For all medium and high concentration shipments, complete shipper's hazardous material certification form.

- Put "THIS SIDE UP" labels on all four sides, "FLAMMABLE LIQUID N.O.S." or "FLAMMABLE SOLID N.O.S." and "DANGER-PELIGRO" labels on two sides.

Note: "DANGER-PELIGRO" labels should be used only when net quantity of samples in cooler exceed 1 quart (32 ounces) for liquids or 25 pounds for solids.

- Affix custody seals on front right and back left of cooler. Cover seals with wide, clear tape.

APPENDIX A

**REMOVAL ITEM
INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLES**

(INVENTORY PERFORMED ON NOVEMBER 13, AND 14, 1992)

TABLE 1**TANK INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 1 OF 6**

Tank Number	Height/Length (Ft)	Diameter (Ft)	Thickness (In)	Condition	Contents	Miscellaneous/Comments
T-1	15.35	10.6	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	16 feet of 2-inch piping 15 feet of 3-inch piping
T-2	18	10	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	15 square feet of insulation 5 foot x 5 foot hole cut in side
T-3	30	6	1/4	Good	Unknown	Inaccessible port Riveted steel
T-4	32.2	5.5 avg.	1/8	Poor	Clean and dry	5,000 gallon tanker Truck-back end cut open Stainless steel
T-5	33	5.5 avg.	3/16	Fair	Empty	Tanker truck with baffles
T-6	31.5	10	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port
T-7	24	8	3/16	Poor	Clean and dry	Tank has four 6-foot legs
T-8	23.5	10.5	1/4	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port Riveted steel
T-9	20	10	1/4	Poor	Unknown	Inaccessible port Riveted steel

TABLE 1**TANK INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 2 OF 6**

Tank Number	Height/Length (Ft)	Diameter (Ft)	Thickness (In)	Condition	Contents	Miscellaneous/Comments
T-10	27	8	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	
T-11	25.5	4.25	3/16	Poor	Empty with considerable amount of scale	4,000 gallon vacuum tanker truck on wheels Miscellaneous piping and equipment attached
T-12	24	5.35	3/16	Fair	Empty with minimal scale debris	
T-13	22	8	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port
T-14	18	9.5	3/16	Poor	Chemical scale on interior walls 1 inch clear liquid on bottom	5 foot x 3 foot hole cut 3 foot x 2 foot hole cut
T-15	13.5	7.5	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	
T-16	16	10.4	1/4	Fair	Clean and dry	Riveted steel
T-17	16	13	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry Minimal scale	
T-18	12	8	3/16	Poor	Puddled water on bottom; otherwise clean	
T-19	12	8	3/16	Poor	Clean and dry	
T-20	21	8	3/16	Fair	Unknown	No visible ports

TABLE 1**TANK INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 3 OF 6**

Tank Number	Height/Length (Ft)	Diameter (Ft)	Thickness (In)	Condition	Contents	Miscellaneous/Comments
T-21	35	7	1/4	Fair	Clean and dry	Riveted steel Scale on interior wall Note on side of tank painted "PCB Hoses Only"
T-22	15.5	10.5	1/8	Poor	Clean and empty Minimal scale	
T-23	21	12.5	3/16	Poor	Clean and dry Minimal scale	
T-24	16	10	3/16	Poor	1 inch liquid Some solid debris Tank scale	
T-25	15	10.5	3/16	Poor	Clean with minimal solid debris and tank scale	
T-26	32.3	5 avg.	1/8	Very poor	Nothing	Tanker truck with side cut out Note on truck: "Licensed Special Waste Hauler - ILL EPA-0295/002"

TABLE 1**TANK INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE****PAGE 4 OF 6**

Tank Number	Height/Length (Ft)	Diameter (Ft)	Thickness (In)	Condition	Contents	Miscellaneous/Comments
T-27	12	8	3/16	Poor	Empty except for roof debris on bottom 1 to 2 inches of liquid on bottom; most likely rain water	Roof is missing (rusted away)
T-28	25.5	9	1/4	Fair	Empty except for solid debris and tank scale	Riveted steel
T-29	30	10.5	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port
T-30	20.3	10	1/4	Fair	Unknown	Riveted steel Inaccessible port
T-31	24.5	10.5	3/16	Poor	1 inch liquid on bottom and minimal scale	
T-32	16	8	1/4	Poor	Unknown	Inaccessible port Severely dented
T-33	27	8	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry with minimal tank scale	Painted on side "Caution PCBs"
T-34	16	13	3/16	Poor	Clean and empty with minimal scale	Miscellaneous piping along side
T-35	6.25	5	3/16	Fair	1/2 inch liquid with tank scale and crust	
T-36	19	6	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	Built 1971

TABLE 1**TANK INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 5 OF 6**

Tank Number	Height/Length (Ft)	Diameter (Ft)	Thickness (In)	Condition	Contents	Miscellaneous/Comments
T-37	12	5.5	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	8 feet of pipe along tank
T-38	12	5.5	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port
T-39	13	9.5	3/16	Fair	2 inch tank scale Solid debris unknown	
T-40	12	5.5	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port
T-41	13	9.5	3/16	Fair	Clean with minimal scale	
T-42	13	9.5	3/16	Fair	Clean and empty	
T-43	13	9.5	3/16	Fair	Clean and empty	
T-44	6	5.5	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry Minimal scale	
T-45	12.2	3.8	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible port
T-46	6	6	3/16	Poor	Clean and dry	Wrapped in foam insulation with miscellaneous piping
T-47	6	4.5	3/16	Poor	Clean and dry with minimal tank scale	Wrapped in foam insulation with miscellaneous piping
T-48	11.5	5	1/4	Fair/Good	1/4 inch liquid; otherwise clean	Stainless steel construction with miscellaneous piping
T-49	6	4	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	Miscellaneous piping

TABLE 1**TANK INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 6 OF 6**

Tank Number	Height/Length (Ft)	Diameter (Ft)	Thickness (In)	Condition	Contents	Miscellaneous/Comments
T-50	6	6	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	Wrapped in foam insulation
T-51	6	4.5	3/16	Fair	Clean and dry	Wrapped in foam insulation
T-52	30	6	3/8	Fair	Unknown	Riveted steel Inaccessible ports
T-53	22	7.5	3/16	Fair	Unknown	Inaccessible ports

Notes

1. All tanks and piping are constructed of carbon steel unless otherwise noted.
2. All tanks had no detectable PID or LEL/O₂ indications other than background readings.
3. Considerable amount of brush exists between/around tanks including trees up to 4 inches in diameter.
4. A concrete and steel tank stand, forklift, and other various steel debris is scattered about the tank area.
5. References to measurements (height, diameter, and thickness of tank) are approximate.

TABLE 2			
DRUM STORAGE AREA INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE			
Drum Storage Area	Quantity of Drums	Condition	Comments
1	240 ±	Poor: Deteriorated	Drums from the Enviro-Chem Site and the Northside Sanitary Landfill contained soil cuttings from drilling operations, groundwater, decontamination water, and chemical protective clothing. Several drums are unmarked as to their contents or source of contents. Some drums have rusted open and now contain nothing.
2	10	New: Able to be shipped as is	Eight drums contain soil cuttings, decontamination water, groundwater, and chemical protective clothing from activities on the Enviro-Chem Site generated by AWD. Two unused drums remain empty.

Notes

1. All drums are 55-gallon.
2. Approximately 20 other drums are located in various other areas onsite.

TABLE 3**STRUCTURE INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 1 OF 3**

Building	Dimensions (Ft)	Building Materials	Contents
A-Frame House	28 x 20 x 18 H	All wood construction with asphalt shingles; above ground construction; no foundation	
Lower Floor; West Room	12 x 18		Ten 50-lb bags of grass fertilizer Eight 50-lb bags of plant food Three gallons of pesticide Two gallons of paint One 55-gallon drum; unknown contents One tire Six milk crates One 5-foot book shelf Ten square feet of rubber matting Several florescent light fixtures (4-foot long) Three boxes of florescent light tubes (4-foot long) Several yard hand tools Other miscellaneous debris
Lower Floor; East Room	12 x 18		5 foot x 3 foot kitchen cabinet unit One kitchen sink One table band saw One wall air conditioning unit Two work tables Three chairs Two lawn fertilizer spreaders 100 feet of 1-inch PVC tubing Several boxes of sorbent pads (24 inch x 24 inch) and 8-inch diameter x 6 feet long sorbent sock One tire One 55-gallon tub Two rolls of carpet pad (6 foot x 20-inch diameter) Miscellaneous 5-gallon buckets of debris Loose fertilizer on floor

TABLE 3

**STRUCTURE INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
PAGE 2 OF 3**

Building	Dimensions (Ft)	Building Materials	Contents
Upper Level; One Room	24 x 10	9 inch x 9 inch vinyl floor tile	Three boxes of sorbent pads (24 inch x 24 inch) Miscellaneous debris (basically clean and empty)
Outside; West			One office desk One fertilizer spreader Wood debris Miscellaneous debris
Outside; East			Two air conditioner units One office desk Miscellaneous debris
Process Building	76 x 36/30 x 32 H		
Room 1	30 x 18 x 16 H	One cinder block wall (16 feet high x 30 feet) Eight 8 foot x 8 inch steel beams 150 feet of 6-inch channel steel Aluminum sheeting on walls and roof with fiberglass insulation Concrete floor/foundation	One boiler (16 foot x 6 1/2 foot diameter on 8-inch steel I-beam frame) One 5 foot x 3 foot fuel tank One 8 foot x 4 foot electrical panel Outside of northwest corner is a power pole with two transformers
Room 2	30 x 27 x 16 H	Two cinder block walls (one between Rooms 1 and 2 accounted for in Room 1 listing (16 feet high x 30 feet) Aluminum walls on east and west sides Eight 8 inch x 30 foot steel beams Two 8 inch to 18 inch x 30 foot main beams Four 8 inch x 12 foot steel upright beams 120 feet of 6-inch steel channel beams Concrete floor/foundation	Various steel piping Three 10 foot x 8 foot book shelves (2 steel/1 wooden) One snowmobile Fifteen 4 foot x 8 foot styrofoam sheeting insulation

<p align="center">TABLE 3</p> <p align="center">STRUCTURE INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE</p> <p align="center">PAGE 3 OF 3</p>			
Building	Dimensions (Ft)	Building Materials	Contents
Room 3	36 x 33 x 32 H	<p>Two cinder block walls (between Rooms 2 and 3 accounted for in Room 2); the other wall is 23 feet high x 36 feet</p> <p>Aluminum walls on east and west sides</p> <p>Partially missing aluminum roof</p> <p>Wooden roof supports</p> <p>Concrete floor/foundation</p>	<p>One 6-foot exhaust fan built in ceiling</p> <p>Forty florescent light fixtures with ballasts (4-foot long)</p> <p>Twelve steel bookshelves</p> <p>Six tires</p> <p>Forty 6-inch PVC elbows and tees</p> <p>Rolls of fiberglass insulation</p> <p>Various other debris</p>

Note

1. All concrete floors/foundations will be left intact.

TABLE 4**MISCELLANEOUS DEBRIS AREA INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
(SEE DRAWINGS FOR LOCATIONS)****PAGE 1 OF 2**

Miscellaneous Debris Area	Debris Item
1	Seven 55-gallon drums - unknown contents One 4 foot x 4 foot utility sink Pile of cardboard Pile of pieces of wood Painting tools
2	Ten 12-foot wood planks One 18 foot x 10 inch steel lifting beam Twelve 10 foot x 3 foot aluminum sheets
3	Scaffolding material - planks, stands, ladders One riding lawn mower One 30-gallon fuel tank Fifteen feet of 5-inch steel pipe Four 10 foot x 3 foot aluminum sheets One metal storage box (4 foot x 3 foot x 2 foot) Two rolls of chicken wire (2 1/2 foot x 18 inches) One roll of cyclone fence (4 foot x 20 inches) Two 20-foot aluminum gutters Six prefab roof supports (25 foot x 4 foot)
4	Six tires One lawn mower Four wooden planks One snowmobile carcass
5	10 foot x 10 foot x 2 inch aluminum roof panel Eight 55-gallon drums - contents unknown Wood pile 20 square feet x 4 inches high 600 feet of 1-inch PVC piping 300 feet of 6-inch PVC piping 30 feet of 8-inch PVC piping 100 feet of 2-inch galvanized steel pipe 200 feet of 4-inch corrugated flexline pipe 2-inch steel tubing/framework (100 feet total length) One air compressor Seventeen 1-foot sections of terracotta pipe 300 feet of 1-inch PVC well tubing One 30-gallon tank Twelve concrete parking blocks (6 feet long)

TABLE 4**MISCELLANEOUS DEBRIS AREA INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE
(SEE DRAWINGS FOR LOCATIONS)
PAGE 2 OF 2**

Miscellaneous Debris Area	Debris Item
6	Three 3 foot x 15 foot sheets of aluminum One 6 foot x 3 foot book shelf One 10 foot x 12 foot aluminum wall One snowmobile carcass Scattered insulation One diesel truck engine Two truck tires One aluminum box 10 foot x 8 foot x 8 foot (storage shed) 10 foot x 12 foot area of machinery parts One 55-gallon drum - contents unknown

TABLE 5		
SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION PILOT STUDY AREA INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE		
Item	Quantity	Debris in Area
Pilot Vapor Extraction System	100 feet of 4-inch exposed PVC pipe 80 feet of 4-inch buried PVC pipe	8 railroad timbers 20 tires 30 feet of 4-inch corrugated flex line

Note

1. Buried pipe not included in this contract.

TABLE 6**OTHER SITE DEBRIS INVENTORY SUMMARY TABLE**

Item	Approximate Quantity	Location
Dismantled modular tanks	450 square feet aluminum and plastic liner	Southern concrete pad
Wood pile	20 feet x 10 feet x 4 feet high	Southern concrete pad
Various pieces of aluminum sheeting	20	Entire site
Bentonite	1 pallet (500 lbs)	Northwest of Process Building